
Check for updates

META-ANALYSIS





Grazing intensity significantly changes the C : N : P stoichiometry in grassland ecosystems

Miao He¹ | Guiyao Zhou¹ | Tengfei Yuan¹ | Kees Jan van Groenigen⁴ | Junjiong Shao^{1,2} | Xuhui Zhou^{1,2,3} \bigcirc

¹Zhejiang Tiantong Forest Ecosystem National Observation and Research Station, Shanghai Key Lab for Urban Ecological Processes and Eco-Restoration, School of Ecological and Environmental Sciences, East China Normal University, Shanghai, China

²Center for Global Change and Ecological Forecasting, East China Normal University, Shanghai, China

³Shanghai Institute of Pollution Control and Ecological Security, Shanghai, China

⁴Department of Geography, College of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Exeter, Exeter, United Kingdom

Correspondence

Junjiong Shao and Xuhui Zhou, School of Ecological and Environmental Sciences, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200241, China.

Email: jjshao@des.ecnu.edu.cn (J.S.) and xhzhou@des.ecnu.edu.cn (X.Z.)

Funding information

"Thousand Young Talents" Program in China; "Outstanding doctoral dissertation cultivation plan of action of East China Normal University, Grant/Award Number: Grant No. YB2016023; The Program for Professor of Special Appointment (Eastern Scholar) at Shanghai Institutions of Higher Learning; National Natural Science Foundation of China, Grant/Award Number: YB2016023; East China Normal University; East China Normal University

Editor: Xiaofeng Xu

Abstract

Aim: Livestock grazing can alter carbon (C), nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) cycles, thereby affecting the C : N : P stoichiometry in grasslands. In this study, we aimed to examine mechanisms underlying the impacts of grazing on grassland C : N : P stoichiometry, focusing on belowground processes and their linkages with aboveground vegetation properties.

Location: Global.

Time period: 1900-2018.

Major taxa studied: Grassland ecosystems.

Methods: We conducted a meta-analysis based on 129 published studies to synthesize the effects of grazing on the C : N : P stoichiometry of leaves, stems, litter, roots, microbial biomass, and soil in grassland ecosystems.

Results: Grazing significantly affected the C, N and P pools, and then the C: N : P stoichiometry in grassland ecosystems. Grazing effects on C: N: P stoichiometry varied strongly with grazing intensity. Specifically, heavy grazing decreased all C: N: P stoichiometry except litter N : P and root C : N ratios, while light and moderate grazing caused less negative or positive effects. Grazing effects on litter C : N ratio were negatively correlated with grazing effects on soil C : N ratios under light and moderate grazing, but this relationship was positive under heavy grazing. In contrast, grazing effects on root C : P and soil C : P were positively correlated under light and moderate grazing but negatively correlated under heavy grazing. Importantly, grazing significantly decreased the soil N pool by 10.0% but increased the soil P pool by 3.6%, indicating differential mechanisms for grazing impact on N and P cycles in grasslands. Main conclusions: Our results strongly suggest that grazing intensity regulates the biogeochemical cycles of C, N and P in grassland ecosystems by affecting plant nutrient use efficiency and soil physicochemical processes. Therefore, incorporating grazing intensity into Earth system models may improve predictions of climate-grassland feedbacks in the Anthropocene.

KEYWORDS

carbon sequestration, ecosystem functioning, grasslands, grazing intensity, meta-analysis, stoichiometry

Miao He and Guiyao Zhou contributed equally to this work.

1 | INTRODUCTION

Grasslands cover approximately 40.5% of the Earth's land surface excluding Antarctica and Greenland (Hufkens et al., 2016), and provide numerous essential ecosystem services, including carbon (C) sequestration and climate regulation as well as economic benefits (Lal, 2004; Lecain, Morgan, Schuman, Reeder, & Hart, 2002; Wang & Fang, 2009). However, overgrazing threatens the biodiversity, functioning and stability of grassland ecosystems worldwide (Mcsherry & Ritchie, 2013). These negative impacts are largely mediated by the grazing effects on C, nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) cycling (Deng, Sweeney, & Shangguan, 2014; Derner, Briske, & Boutton, 1997; Liu, Kan, & Yang, 2015). Grazing-induced changes in C : N ratio have attracted much attention due to their impact on the availability of essential nutrients in grasslands (Zhou et al., 2017). As a critical element for plants and microorganisms. P is usually coupled with C and N, and linked to biological processes such as N fixation, organic matter decomposition, and plant photosynthesis (Delgado-Baguerizo, Maestre, & Gallardo, 2013; Walker & Syers, 1976). Therefore, understanding the responses of C : N : P stoichiometry to grazing is crucial for assessing human-induced impacts on ecosystem functions and developing sustainable strategies for grassland management.

Over the past half-century, numerous studies have suggested that long-term overgrazing might modify plant C sequestration, root exudation, and microbial activity, thereby affecting C–N–P cycling within root–microbe–soil systems (Delgado-Baquerizo et al., 2013; Zhou, Luo, Chen, He, et al., 2019). While grazing generally decreases C, N and P pools in leaves and litter (Bai et al., 2012), it has divergent effects on soil C, N and P pools (Knops, Bradley, & Wedin, 2002). However, most recent studies focused either on the aboveground or the belowground processes in response to grazing (Bai et al., 2012; Yang et al., 2018). Thus, stoichiometric links between above- and belowground processes in response to grazing remain uncertain, which may hamper our ability to predict global C–N–P dynamics in a changing environment.

The magnitude of grazing effects on C : N : P stoichiometry depends on grazing intensity, vegetation types and environmental factors (Derner et al., 1997; Mcsherry & Ritchie, 2013). Among these factors, grazing intensity may play the most essential role due to its influence on the plant community structure, soil microenvironment, and microbial diversity (Bello, Lepš, & Sebastià, 2010; Zhou et al., 2017). However, the effects of grazing intensity on C : N : P stoichiometry vary substantially among ecosystems. For example, Yang et al. (2018) found that light grazing decreased the soil C : N ratio in an alpine meadow, whereas heavy grazing increased it. Shrestha and Stahl (2008) found no change in soil C : N ratio in response to light grazing in a semi-arid sagebrush steppe. Moreover, heavy grazing decreased both soil C : P and N : P ratios in an upland grassland (Medina-Roldán, Pazferreiro, & Bardgett, 2012), but increased these ratios in meadow steppe, typical steppe and desert steppe (Bai et al., 2012). In spite of these efforts, the mechanisms underlying the effects of grazing intensity on C : N : P stoichiometry and the linkage between above- and belowground processes remain unclear. Therefore, it is necessary to integrate the available data on

the response of C : N : P stoichiometry to various levels of grazing intensity.

In this study, we compiled a dataset of 3,610 paired comparisons from 129 published studies and conducted a meta-analysis to investigate the general response pattern of above- and belowground C : N : P stoichiometry to different grazing intensities. Specifically, our objectives were: (a) to examine global patterns of above- and belowground C : N : P stoichiometry in response to livestock grazing, (b) to explore links between grazing effects on above- and belowground C : N : P stoichiometry, and (c) to evaluate whether grazing effects on soil C : N : P stoichiometry depend on grazing intensity, climate, soil depth, and vegetation type.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Data sources

We searched for peer-reviewed papers published before June 2018 in Web of Science and China Knowledge Resource Integrated Database (CNKI), using the following search terms: (grazing OR herbivory OR defoliation) and (C:N OR C:P OR N:P OR C:N:P) and (grassland OR pasture OR meadow). To be included in our dataset, studies had to meet the following six criteria: (a) the experiment was conducted in the field and included non-grazed plots and at least one grazing treatment, (b) experimental duration was longer than one growing season, (c) the initial climatic conditions, soil properties, and species compositions in the non-grazed and grazing treatments were the same, (d) all plots were free of grazing for at least 10 years prior to the start of the experiment, (e) the dominant species were clearly described and the grazing intensity was quantitatively and/or qualitatively reported, and (f) the mean, standard error (SE) or standard deviation (SD), and sample size (n) of the relevant variables could be extracted from the tables, digitized graphs or the text. We found 129 papers (Appendix: Data sources) meeting these criteria. Of these papers, 119 reported grazing effects on C : N ratios, 50 reported C : P ratios and 46 reported N : P ratios (Figure 1, Supporting Information Table S3).

Our database included 36 variables: above- and belowground C, N and P pools (i.e., C, N and P stocks in plant leaves, stems, litter and roots, microbial biomass and soil), and C : N : P stoichiometry (i.e., C : N, C : P and N : P ratios in plant leaves, stems, litter and roots, microbial biomass and soil). In total, there were 38, 11, 16, 34, 31 and 94 studies for leaves, stems, litter, roots, microbial biomass and soil). In total, there were directly extracted, and those in the figures were extracted by using GETDATA software (version 2.24, http://getdata-graph-digit izer.com). We also extracted and tabulated information on mean annual temperature (MAT), mean annual precipitation (MAP), elevation, grazing intensity, dominant species and soil depth. When MAT and MAP were not reported, we extracted them from the global climate database (http://www.worldclim.org/) according to the geographical coordinates.

Environmental variables included MAT with a range from -1.7 to 15 °C, MAP from 160 to 4,200 mm, and elevation from 300 to

Global Ecology



FIGURE 1 Global distribution of the grazing experimental sites used in this meta-analysis. The sites were spread over all continents except Antarctica, with most of them located in eastern Asia and North America. LG, MG and HG represent sites with light, moderate and heavy grazing treatments, respectively. LMG, LHG, MHG and LMHG represent the combinations of two or three grazing intensities (e.g., LMG includes both light and moderate grazing, LMHG includes all three grazing intensities). GWI represents the sites with no grazing intensity information. The base map represents the global distribution of grasslands

4,600 m. Grazing intensity was divided into un-grazed or fenced treatments (UG) as the control, light grazed (LG), moderate grazed (MG), and heavy grazed (HG) treatments. Because grasslands may differ in carrying capacity, it is difficult to set general criteria to classify studies according to grazing intensity. However, authors usually considered a light grazing intensity when livestock consume less than 20% of total plant biomass, a moderate intensity when livestock consume 20-50% of plants, and a heavy intensity when livestock overgraze grasslands (at least 50% of plants, Holechek & Galt, 2000; Yan, Zhou, & Zhang, 2013). Therefore, studies were classified according to grazing intensity using the authors' qualitative classification directly from papers or the references therein (Zhou et al., 2017), which represented the relative impacts of grazing on plants and were relatively comparable among studies. The studies were also grouped into C_3 and C_4 grasslands according to the dominant species, and into different MAT (< 0, 0-5 and > 5 °C), MAP (< 400, 400-800 and > 800 mm), elevation (< 1,500, 1,500-3,000 and > 3,000 m) and soil depth groups (< 15, 15-30 and > 30 cm).

2.2 | Data analysis

We used the same methods as Hedges, Gurevitch, and Curtis (1999) and Luo, Hui, and Zhang (2006) to quantify the responses of aboveand belowground C, N and P contents and stoichiometry to grazing by using the response ratio (RR), which is the natural logarithm of the ratio between the mean value in grazing (\overline{Xt}) to that in the control (\overline{Xc}) for a concerned variable:

$$RR = Ln\left(Xt/Xc\right) \tag{1}$$

The variance (v) of the RR is estimated by:

$$v = \frac{s_t^2}{n_t \bar{x}_t^2} + \frac{s_c^2}{n_c \bar{x}_c^2}$$
(2)

where n_t and n_c indicate the sample sizes, and s_t and s_c are the standard deviations of the relevant variable in the grazing and control treatments, respectively. The average response ratio (RR₊₊) across a group of experiments was calculated as the weighted mean of individual RR (RR_i) with the weight (w) of each RR being the reciprocal of the variance (w = 1/v). The RR₊₊ considered the precision of each individual study, which had the advantage compared to relative response (RR).

$$RR_{++} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} w_i RR_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{k} w_i}$$
(3)

where k represents the number of RRs. The standard error (SE) of RR₊₊ was calculated by:

$$S(RR_{++}) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{k} w_i}}$$
(4)

The 95% confidence interval (CI) for RR₊₊ was RR₊₊ ± 1.96 × *S*(RR₊₊). The influence of livestock grazing was considered significant if the 95% CI did not overlap zero (Luo et al., 2006). The percentage change of a variable was calculated as [exp (RR₊₊) – 1] × 100%. A *t* test was applied to examine the difference in RR₊₊ between differential grazing intensities in groups with different climate (MAT, MAP), elevation, dominant species (C₃ and C₄), and soil depth. To validate the results from this meta-analysis, frequency



FIGURE 2 Effects of grazing on the C, N and P pools and C: N : P stoichiometry across different above- and belowground parts, including leaves, stems, litter, roots, microbial biomass and soil. Bars represent RR₊₊ ± 95% confidence intervals. The vertical line is drawn at RR₊₊ = 0. Asterisks (*) indicate the grazing effect on relevant variables are significant. Numbers for each bar indicate the sample size

distributions of RR (n > 10) of C : N, C : P and N : P ratios in leaves, stems, litter, roots, microbial biomass and soil were fitted with a Gaussian function (i.e., normal distribution):

$$y = \alpha \exp\left[-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right]$$
(5)

where x is the RR of a target variable; y is its frequency (i.e., number of RR values); α is a coefficient showing the expected number of RR values at $x = \mu$; and μ and σ^2 are the mean and variance of the frequency distributions, respectively. Linear regressions were conducted to examine the relationships among C : N, C : P and N : P ratios in leaves, stems, litter, roots and soil.

RESULTS 3

3.1 | Effects of grazing on above- and belowground C: N: P stoichiometry

Grazing significantly affected above- and belowground C : N : P stoichiometry in grassland ecosystems (Figure 2, Supporting Information Figure S1). On average, grazing decreased C pools in leaves, stems,

litter, microbial biomass and soil by 2.1, 2.4, 1.9, 22.3 and 4.1%, respectively, but grazing increased root C pools by 1.5% (Figure 2). Grazing also decreased N pools in stems (-4.9%) and soil (-9.1%), but increased N pools in leaves, roots and microbial biomass by 17.2, 5.1 and 4.5%, respectively. In addition, grazing increased P pools in leaves (+2.2%), stems (+15.8%), litter (+11.8%), roots (+5.1%) and soil (3.7%).

Grazing-induced changes in C, N and P pools significantly affected the C : N : P stoichiometry in grassland ecosystems. Specifically, grazing decreased the soil N pool (-9.9%) more strongly than the soil C pool (-4.3%), leading to an increase in soil C : N ratio (+3.6%, Figure 2f, Supporting Information Figure S2). Grazing significantly decreased C : P ratios in leaves (-14.0%), stems (-5.0%), litter (-11.1%), roots (-5.8%) and soil (-3.9%), but increased microbial biomass C : P ratio by 29.8% (Figure 2). In addition, grazing significantly increased N : P ratios in leaves (+1.2%), roots (+3.1%) and microbial biomass (+17.1%), while it decreased N: P ratios in litter (-7.3%) and soil (-1.1%, Figure 2).

3.2 | Effects of grazing intensity on above- and belowground C: N: P stoichiometry

The response of aboveground C, N and P pools as well as their stoichiometry to grazing largely depended on grazing intensity (Figure 3,

A Journal of



FIGURE 3 Influences of grazing intensity on C: N : P stoichiometry across different above- and belowground parts, including leaves, stems, litter, roots, microbial biomass and soil. Bars represent $RR_{++} \pm 95\%$ confidence intervals. The vertical line is drawn at $RR_{++} = 0$. Asterisks (*) indicate the grazing effect on relevant variables are significant. Numbers for each bar indicate the sample size. LG = light grazing; MG = moderate grazing; HG = heavy grazing

Supporting Information Figure S2). Specifically, light grazing significantly increased leaf C : P and N : P ratios by 6.2 and 3.7%, respectively, while moderate and heavy grazing decreased C : P ratios by 9.6 and 25.0%, and N : P ratios by 0.3 and 18.5%, respectively (Figure 3a, Supporting Information Table S1). Light grazing significantly increased stem C : N, C : P and N : P ratios compared with moderate and heavy grazing (Figure 3b). In addition, heavy grazing decreased litter C : N and C : P ratios more strongly than light and moderate grazing (Figure 3c).

The responses of belowground C : N : P stoichiometry to grazing also varied with grazing intensity (Figure 3d–f). Light grazing did not significantly affect root C : N ratio, but moderate and heavy grazing increased it by 5.1 and 10.8%, respectively (Figure 3d). On the contrary, grazing significantly decreased root C : P and N : P ratios for all three intensities (i.e., light, moderate and heavy) but these effects became more severe with increased intensity (Figure 3d). Microbial C : N ratio increased under light grazing but decreased under heavy grazing, whereas the patterns in C : P and N : P ratios were uncertain due to small sample sizes (Figure 3e). For soil C : N : P stoichiometry, light grazing significantly increased C : N, C : P and N : P ratios by 2.0, 6.4 and 4.6%, but heavy grazing decreased these ratios by 4.7, 3.4 and 0.9%, respectively (Figure 3f). Moderate grazing significantly increased soil C : N and N : P ratios by 1.2 and 2.1%, respectively, but it did not affect soil C : P ratios. Grazing intensity also affected the link between above- and belowground C : N : P stoichiometry (Figure 4). Grazing-induced changes in soil C : N ratio [RR(soil C : N)] were negatively correlated with changes in litter C : N under both light and moderate grazing, but a positive correlation was found under heavy grazing (Figure 4c). In contrast, RR(soil C : P) was positively correlated with RR(root C : P) under both light and moderate grazing, but a negative correlation was detected under heavy grazing (Figure 4d).

3.3 | Effects of other factors on soil C : N : P stoichiometry under grazing

Grazing effects on soil C, N and P pools also depended on MAT, MAP and elevation (Figure 5, Supporting Information Figure S3). Specifically, grazing increased soil C pools when MAT < 0 °C, but significantly decreased soil C pools in studies with MAT > 0 °C (Figure 5a). The responses of the soil N pool, soil P pool, and soil C : P and soil N : P ratios to grazing became weaker when MAT increased (Figure 5b-f, Supporting Information Table S2). Furthermore, grazing decreased the soil P pool by 4.2% when MAP < 400 mm, but increased soil P by 2.3% when MAP was 400–800 mm (Figure 5g). The average response of soil C : P and N : P ratios to grazing decreased



FIGURE 4 Relationships between the response ratios (RRs) of soil C : N ratio and leaf, litter C : N ratio, and the RR of soil C : P ratio and stem, root C : P ratio in different grazing intensities. LG = light grazing; MG = moderate grazing; HG = heavy grazing; All = all grazing intensities

with MAP (Figure 5k, I, Supporting Information Table S2). The response of the soil C pool to grazing shifted from positive to negative with increase in elevation, while the opposite trend was found for soil C : P ratio (Figure 5).

Soil depth and vegetation types (C3 versus C4) also affected the responses of soil C : N, C : P and N : P ratios under different grazing intensities (Supporting Information Figures S3–S5), but response patterns were uncertain due to the small sample sizes when the dataset was grouped into light, moderate and heavy grazing. Taken together, these changes support a conceptual framework in which grazing intensity determines the effects of livestock grazing on C : N : P stoichiometry in grassland ecosystems (Figure 6).

4 | DISCUSSION

Grazing is one of the main anthropogenic activities influencing the biogeochemical cycles of C, N and P in grassland ecosystems (Knops et al., 2002). Our analysis shows that grazing intensity affects aboveand belowground C : N : P stoichiometry as well as their linkage across grasslands around the world. Our results showed that heavy grazing had significantly stronger effects on plant C : N : P stoichiometry than light and moderate grazing, and that the effects on soil C : N : P stoichiometry shifted from positive to negative with increasing grazing intensity (Figure 3). These patterns were closely associated with changes in above- and belowground C, N and P pools. Below, we will discuss how these results can be explained by grazing effects on plant ecophysiology, animal waste, microbial activity, and soil physicochemical environmental conditions (Figure 6).

4.1 | Effects of grazing intensity on aboveground C:N:P stoichiometry

The effects of grazing on leaf and litter C: N: P stoichiometry switched from positive to negative or became more negative with increasing grazing intensity, except for the N: P ratio in litter (Figure 3a, c). The decreased C: N, C: P and N: P ratios in plants could result from accelerated nutrient cycling or increased nutrient availability induced by livestock faeces and urine (Bai et al., 2012). Grazing increased the leaf



FIGURE 5 Effects of different environmental factors (mean annual temperature, MAT; mean annual precipitation, MAP; and elevation) on soil C : N : P stoichiometry. Bars represent $RR_{++} \pm 95\%$ confidence intervals. Numbers for each bar indicate sample size. MAT is separated into < 0, 0–5 and > 5 °C, MAP is grouped into < 400, 400–800 and > 800 mm, while elevation is divided into < 1,500, 1,500–3,000, and > 3,000 m. Asterisks (*) indicate the grazing effect on relevant variables are significant. C, carbon; N, nitogen; P, phosphorus



FIGURE 6 A conceptual diagram of the influence of different grazing intensities on processes controlling the above- and belowground C : N : P stoichiometry of grassland ecosystems. Nutrient pools include C, N and P content in above- and belowground plants, microbes and soil. Green upward arrows represent positive responses, red downward arrows negative responses. The positive or negative responses under different intensities are drawn based on the responses of C, N and P pools as well as our previous study (Zhou et al., 2017). LG = light grazing; MG = moderate grazing; HG = heavy grazing; MAT = mean annual temperature; MAP = mean annual precipitation

N pool but decreased the soil N pool (Figures 2, 6), suggesting that the decreased C : N ratio in leaves and litter with grazing were mainly due to the accelerated N cycling (Bai et al., 2012). However, the enhanced soil P pool under grazing (Figures 2, 6) largely caused the decreases in leaf C : P and N : P and litter C : P ratios, which might stem from both increased P use efficiency and soil P availability. The increase in litter N : P ratio under heavy grazing can probably be explained by plants failing to recycle N in time before grazing-induced defoliation (Avila-Ospina, Moison, Yoshimoto, & Masclaux-Daubresse, 2014). The changes in C : N : P stoichiometry of stems under light grazing were much larger than those of leaves and litter (Figure 3a-c), probably because leaves can absorb nutrients from stems to maintain an optimal stable C : N : P stoichiometry (Tang et al., 2018).

4.2 | Effects of grazing intensity on belowground C:N:P stoichiometry

The C : N : P stoichiometry of belowground processes was strongly regulated by grazing intensity. With increasing grazing intensity,

effects on root C : P and N : P ratios became more negative, while effects on root C : N ratio became more positive (Figure 3a, d). The increased root C : N ratio may arise from grazing-induced C translocation to roots relative to N (Ritchie, Tilman, & Knops, 1998). This is a protective strategy, whereby plants allocate more non-structural carbohydrates to belowground organs to reduce C loss induced by overgrazing (Whigham & Simpson, 1978). Similar to the situations in leaves, the decreasing pattern in root C : P and N : P ratios with increasing grazing intensity might be due to enhanced N and P use efficiencies and increased soil P availability (Chapin, Matson, & Mooney, 2002).

The responses of soil C : N : P stoichiometry to grazing intensity have important implications for the development of sustainable strategies for grassland management. Our results showed that light grazing significantly increased the C : N, C : P and N : P ratios of soil but heavy grazing decreased these ratios (Figure 3f). The increases in soil C : N and C : P ratios under light grazing may result from grazing-induced increases in C-rich root exudates (Bardgett, Wardle, & Yeates, 1998). Heavy grazing with frequent livestock trampling and lower productivity may reduce both litter fall and root exudates, causing soil C and N loss (Figure 6; Derner et al., 1997; Heyburn, Mckenzie, Crawley, & Fornara, 2017). However, inputs of livestock urine and faeces may partly compensate N loss, resulting in a decreased C : N ratio under heavy grazing (Bai et al., 2012; Mcsherry & Ritchie, 2013). Moderate and heavy grazing decreased the soil N pool but increased the soil P pool (Figures 2, 6, Supporting Information Figure S2). These differences in grazing effects can possibly be explained by the mechanisms underlying N and P cycles. Whereas N can enter natural ecosystems through multiple routes, P derives mostly from mineral weathering (Peñuelas et al., 2013). Thus, we hypothesize that grazing increases soil P availability by stimulating rock weathering rates, possibly because of decreases in plant cover and increases in soil aridity and soil exposure (Delgado-Baquerizo et al., 2013; Eldridge & Delgado-Baquerizo 2017).

Microbes usually mediate the plant-soil feedback through mobilization and immobilization of nutrients, which may link the microbial C : N : P stoichiometry to soil stoichiometry (Bai et al., 2012). A previous study indicated a strong correlation between responses of microbial and soil C pools to grazing (Zhou et al., 2017). In this study, we also found that effects of grazing intensity on microbial C : N ratio were similar to those on soil C : N ratio (Figure 3e), suggesting that grazing affects these ratios through similar mechanisms, for example, grazing-induced root exudation, input of livestock urine and faeces, and trampling.

4.3 | Grazing intensity changed linkage between plant and soil C : N : P stoichiometry

Grazing intensity influences the relationship between plant and soil C : N : P stoichiometry (Bagchi & Ritchie, 2010; Liu et al., 2015). In this study, we found that correlations between the responses of litter and soil C : N ratios were negative under light and moderate grazing but became positive under heavy grazing (Figure 4c). These changes were probably due to the larger mixture of litter and soil with livestock urine and greater litter N fixation under heavy grazing than light and moderate grazing, enhancing microbial diversity and activity and stimulating the decay rate of litter (Knops et al., 2002).

Similarly, our results show that grazing-induced changes in soil C : P were positively correlated with changes in root C : P under both light and moderate grazing (Figure 4d). These results suggest a tight linkage between roots and soil under these grazing regimes due to increased root exudates and cascading effects on plant P uptake and then root P content (Bai et al., 2012; Gifford, & Marshall, 1973). However, this relationship became negative under heavy grazing, possibly because heavy grazing decreased soil C content but increased photosynthetic C allocation to roots (Klumpp et al., 2009). Alternatively, the cascade effect described above disappeared (Bai et al., 2012) and the excess P uptake by roots had a negative influence upon soil P.

Despite the correlations of soil stoichiometry with litter and root stoichiometry, soil C and N pools tended to respond more negatively to grazing than those of litter and roots (Figure 2). This suggests that grazing stimulated soil C and N loss through abiotic pathways. For example, livestock activities might change soil structure by disrupting aggregates and surface crust, leading to increased soil susceptibility to water and wind erosion and stimulating soil C and N losses (Neff, Reynolds, & Belnap, 2005). These effects may complicate the links between plants and soil, and provide another explanation as to why correlations between plant and soil stoichiometry changed with grazing intensities (Figure 4).

4.4 | Regulation of grazing effects on soil C:N:P stoichiometry by climate, soil depth and vegetation type

Climate, soil depth and vegetation type are known to affect the biogeochemical cycles of C. N and P (Mcsherry & Ritchie, 2013; Yuan & Chen, 2015), and might also affect the responses of these cycles to grazing. Responses of soil C: N: P stoichiometry to grazing increased with MAT but decreased with MAP (Figure 5). P limitation is more common in warmer biomes whereas N limitation is more common in colder biomes, explaining why grazing effects on soil N : P increased with MAT (Reich & Oleksyn, 2004). Grasslands in humid regions typically have greater plant productivity and higher microbial diversity than grasslands in arid regions (Bai et al., 2012), possibly explaining why grazing accelerated N cycling and decreased soil N stocks more strongly in humid regions. Because soil N can easily be leached with rainfall (Vitousek, Porder, Houlton, & Chadwick, 2010) as well as the grazing effects on soil P were relatively similar for humid and dry regions, grazing decreased soil N : P along the MAP gradient. As higher elevations are usually associated with lower temperature and greater precipitation, the increased responses of soil C : N and C : P ratios to grazing along the elevation gradient might be mainly explained by the effects of MAP, whereas the decreased responses of soil N : P might be due to the effects of MAT (Figure 5p-r).

Our results showed that grazing induced larger increases in soil C : N ratio in deeper soil compared to surface soil, due to weaker decreases in soil C stocks and stronger decreases in soil N stocks (Supporting Information Figure S2). Under grazing, frequent trampling activity in topsoil may largely destroy soil aggregates, accelerate decomposition of soil organic matter, and increase soil susceptibility to water and wind erosion (Neff et al., 2005), thereby causing larger decreases in soil C stocks but weak effects on soil N stocks compared to those in deeper soil. In addition, differences in root biomass distribution (Schuman, Reeder, Manley, Hart, & Manley, 1999) and microbial community composition (Shrestha & Stahl, 2008) within the plant-soil system may also affect the response of soil N and P pools and N : P ratios to grazing at different soil depths (Zhou et al., 2017).

Differential responses of C : N : P stoichiometry to grazing were also found between C3 and C4 plants. Unfortunately, most of the comparisons did not have sufficient data to draw a solid conclusion (Figure 6). With relatively larger sample size, grazing increased C : N ratios in C4 plants more strongly than in C3 plants. These results can possibly be explained by higher root-to-shoot ratios, higher root exudates, and tighter association with mycorrhizae to stimulate soil organic C storage in C4 grasslands (Mcsherry & Ritchie, 2013).

4.5 | Implications for future experiments and terrestrial ecosystem models

Understanding the effects of grazing intensity on the C: N: P stoichiometry of grassland ecosystems will help us improve grassland management and predict climate-biosphere feedbacks (Derner et al., 1997; Zhou, Luo, Chen, Hu, et al., 2019). Recent ecosystem models usually consider grazing effects through three primary processes (i.e., direct intake, excretion, and trampling; Chen et al., 2018), and apply livestock density and/or weight of livestock to indicate grazing intensity (Chen et al., 2019). In contrast, Earth system models (ESMs) simulate grazing by direct biomass removal (Erb et al., 2017), although the coupled C, N and P cycles have been incorporated into some models (Thum et al., 2019). Our results showed that grazing intensity significantly influenced plant and soil C : N : P stoichiometry as well as their linkage (Figures 3, 4). Furthermore, grazing might trigger the defence strategy of plants, shift the biomass allocation, and change plant nutrient use efficiency (Figure 6). These grazing-induced changes in C : N : P stoichiometry have not been incorporated into grazing models and ESMs (Thum et al., 2019). Integrating grazing effects on C : N : P stoichiometry into ESMs and validating these models against field observations may improve prediction of ecosystem functioning in grasslands worldwide (Bello et al., 2010).

Most of the studies included in this meta-analysis were conducted in the temperate grasslands in eastern Asia and Northern America (Figure 1). More experiments are needed to understand the impact of grazing on tropical savanna and boreal tundra. Moreover, field experiments are needed to provide mechanistic insight into grazing effects on C, N and P cycles. In addition to climate, soil depth, and vegetation type, management history might also regulate the response of C : N : P stoichiometry to grazing (Chapin et al., 2002; Zhou et al., 2017). Fortunately, both the grazed and ungrazed plots of most of the selected studies were free of grazing for at least for 10 years prior to the start of the experiment, minimizing the effect of land use history. Nevertheless, well-designed transect experiments might yield insights into grazing effects across large spatial scales and provide useful baselines for evaluating grazing effects in ESMs.

5 | CONCLUSIONS

Grazing is a key anthropogenic disturbance that strongly influences the ecosystem C, N and P cycles as well as their stoichiometry. Our analysis showed that heavy grazing generally had stronger effects on ecosystem C : N : P stoichiometry than light and moderate grazing, indicating substantial impacts of livestock disturbance on biogeochemical cycles of C, N and P in grassland ecosystems. The differential responses of soil N and P pools to grazing and their linkage between plants and soil suggested that soil physicochemical processes played an important role in regulating grazing effects. Experiments that are focused on belowground processes and experiments in tropical and boreal grasslands could deepen our understanding of the responses of ecosystems to grazing. Incorporating the effects of grazing intensity into the framework of next-generation ESMs may improve predictions on how human disturbance affects the functioning of grassland ecosystems.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank the subject editor and two anonymous referees for their insightful comments and suggestions. We thank all the scientists whose data and work were included in this meta-analysis. This research was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant nos 31770559, 31600352, 31600387), the 'Thousand Young Talents' Program in China, and the 'Outstanding doctoral dissertation cultivation plan of action' of East China Normal University (grant no. YB2016023).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION

X. Zhou and G. Zhou designed and oversaw the research. G. Zhou and M. He collected and analysed the data, and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors discussed and revised the manuscript together.

ORCID

Xuhui Zhou 🕛 https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2038-9901

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Data are available via the Dryad Digital Repository: https:// doi.10.5061/dryad.xksn02vbc (He et al., 2019).

REFERENCES

- Avila-Ospina, L., Moison, M., Yoshimoto, K., & Masclaux-Daubresse, C. (2014). Autophagy, plant senescence, and nutrient recycling. *Journal* of Experimental Botany, 65, 3799–3811.
- Bagchi, S., & Ritchie, M. E. (2010). Introduced grazers can restrict potential soil carbon sequestration through impacts on plant community composition. *Ecology Letters*, 13, 959–968.
- Bai, Y., Wu, J., Clark, C. M., Pan, Q., Zhang, L., Chen, S., ... Han, X. (2012). Grazing alters ecosystem functioning and C:N: P stoichiometry of grasslands along a regional precipitation gradient. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 49, 1204–1215.
- Bardgett, R. D., Wardle, D. A., & Yeates, G. W. (1998). Linking aboveground and below-ground interactions: How plant responses to foliar herbivory influence soil organisms. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 30, 1867–1878.
- Bello, F. D., Lepš, J., & Sebastià, M. (2010). Predictive value of plant traits to grazing along a climatic gradient in the Mediterranean. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 42, 824–833.
- Chapin, III. F. S., Matson, P. A., & Mooney, H. A. (2002). Principles of terrestrial ecosystem ecology. New York, NY: Springer.
- Chen, Y., Ju, W., Mu, S., Fei, X., Cheng, Y., Propastin, P., ... Qi, J. (2019). Explicit representation of grazing activity in a diagnostic terrestrial model: A data-process combined scheme. *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, 11, 957–978.

Global Ecology and Biogeography

- Chen, Y., Tao, Y., Cheng, Y., Ju, W., Ye, J., Hickler, T., ... Ruan, H. (2018). Great uncertainties in modeling grazing impact on carbon sequestration: A multi-model inter-comparison in temperate Eurasian Steppe. *Environmental Research Letters*, 13, 075005.
- Delgado-Baquerizo, M., Maestre, F. T., Gallardo, A. Bowker, M. A., Wallenstein, M. D., Quero, J. L., ... García-Palacios, P. (2013). Decoupling of soil nutrient cycles as a function of aridity in global drylands. *Nature*, 502, 672–676.
- Deng, L., Sweeney, S., & Shangguan, Z. P. (2014). Grassland responses to grazing disturbance: Plant diversity changes with grazing intensity in a desert steppe. *Grass and Forage Science*, 69, 524–533.
- Derner, J., Briske, D., & Boutton, T. (1997). Does grazing mediate soil carbon and nitrogen accumulation beneath C₄, perennial grasses along an environmental gradient? *Plant and Soil*, 191, 147–156.
- Eldridge, D. J., & Delgado-Baquerizo, M. (2017). Continental-scale impacts of livestock grazing on ecosystem supporting and regulating services. Land Degradation and Development, 28, 1473–1481.
- Erb, K. H., Luyssaert, S., Meyfroidt, P., Pongratz, J., Don, A., Kloster, S., ... Haberl, H. (2017). Land management: Data availability and process understanding for global change studies. *Global Change Biology*, 23, 512–533.
- Gifford, R. M., & Marshall, C. (1973). Photosynthesis and assimilate distribution in Lolium multiflorum Lam. following differential tiller defoliation. Australian Journal of Biological Sciences, 26, 517–526.
- He, M., Zhou, G., Yuan, T., van Groenigen, K., Shao, J., & Zhou, X. (2019). Grazing intensity significantly changes the C: N: P stoichiometry in grassland ecosystems. *Dryad Digital Repository. https://doi..* https:// doi.org/10.5061/dryad.xksn02vbc
- Hedges, L. V., Gurevitch, J., & Curtis, P. S. (1999). The meta-analysis of response ratios in experimental ecology. *Ecology*, *80*, 1150–1156.
- Heyburn, J., Mckenzie, P., Crawley, M. J., & Fornara, D. A. (2017). Effects of grassland management on plant C:N:P stoichiometry: Implications for soil element cycling and storage. *Ecosphere*, *8*, e01963.
- Holechek, J. L., & Galt, D. (2000). Grazing intensity guidelines. *Rangelands*, 22, 11–14.
- Hufkens, K., Keenan, T. F., Flanagan, L. B., Scott, R. L., Bernacchi, C. J., Joo, E., ... Richardson, A. D. (2016). Productivity of North American grasslands is increased under future climate scenarios despite rising aridity. *Nature Climate Change*, *6*, 710–716.
- Klumpp, K., Fontaine, S., Attard, E., Roux, X. L., Gleixner, G., & Soussana, J. F. (2009). Grazing triggers soil carbon loss by altering plant roots and their control on soil microbial community. *Journal of Ecology*, 97, 876–885.
- Knops, J. M. H., Bradley, K. L., & Wedin, D. A. (2002). Mechanisms of plant species impacts on ecosystem nitrogen cycling. *Ecology Letters*, 5, 454–466.
- Lal, R. (2004). Soil carbon sequestration impacts on global climate change and food security. *Science*, 304, 1623–1627.
- Lecain, D. R., Morgan, J. A., Schuman, G. E., Reeder, J. D., & Hart, R. H. (2002). Carbon exchange and species composition of grazed pastures and exclosures in the short grass steppe of Colorado. Agriculture Ecosystems and Environment, 93, 421–435.
- Liu, N., Kan, H. M., Yang, G. W., & Zhang, Y. J. (2015). Changes in plant, soil, and microbes in a typical steppe from simulated grazing: Explaining potential change in soil C. *Ecological Monographs*, 85, 269–286.
- Luo, Y. Q., Hui, D. F., & Zhang, D. Q. (2006). Elevated CO₂ stimulates net accumulations of carbon and nitrogen in land ecosystems: A meta-analysis. *Ecology*, 87, 53–63.
- Mcsherry, M. E., & Ritchie, M. E. (2013). Effects of grazing on grassland soil carbon: A global review. *Global Change Biology*, 19, 1347–1357.
- Medina-Roldán, E., Pazferreiro, J., & Bardgett, R. D. (2012). Grazing exclusion affects soil and plant communities, but has no impact on soil

carbon storage in an upland grassland. Agriculture Ecosystems and Environment, 149, 118-123.

- Neff, J. C., Reynolds, R. L., Belnap, J., & Lamothe, P. (2005). Multi-decadal impacts of grazing on soil physical and biogeochemical properties in southeast Utah. *Ecological Applications*, 15, 87–95.
- Peñuelas, J., Poulter, B., Sardans, J., Ciais, P., Velde, M., Bopp, L., ... Nardin, E. (2013). Human-induced nitrogen-phosphorus imbalances alter natural and managed ecosystems across the globe. *Nature Communications*, 4, 2934.
- Reich, P. B., & Oleksyn, J. (2004). Global patterns of plant leaf N and P in relation to temperature and latitude. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA*, 101, 11001–11006.
- Ritchie, M. E., Tilman, D., & Knops, J. M. H. (1998). Herbivore effects on plant and nitrogen dynamics in oak savanna. *Ecology*, *79*, 165–177.
- Schuman, G. E., Reeder, J. D., Manley, J. T., Hart, R. H., & Manley, W. A. (1999). Impact of grazing management on the carbon and nitrogen balance of a mixed-grass rangeland. *Ecological Applications*, 9, 65–71.
- Shrestha, G., & Stahl, P. D. (2008). Carbon accumulation and storage in semi-arid sagebrush steppe: Effects of long-term grazing exclusion. Agriculture Ecosystems and Environment, 125, 173–181.
- Tang, Z., Xu, W., Zhou, G., Bai, Y., Li, J., Tang, X., ... He, H. (2018). Patterns of plant carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus concentration in relation to productivity in China's terrestrial ecosystems. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA*, 115, 4033–4038.
- Thum, T., Caldararu, S., Engel, J., Kern, M., Pallandt, M., Schnur, R., ... Zaehle, S. (2019). A new terrestrial biosphere model with coupled carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus cycles (QUINCY v1.0; revision 1772). Geoscientific Model Development Discussions. https://doi. org/10.5194/gmd-2019-49
- Vitousek, P. M., Porder, S., Houlton, B. Z., & Chadwick, O. A. (2010). Terrestrial phosphorus limitation: Mechanisms, implications, and nitrogen-phosphorus interactions. *Ecological Applications*, 20, 5–15.
- Walker, T. W., & Syers, J. K. (1976). The fate of phosphorus during pedogenesis. *Geoderma*, 15, 1–19.
- Wang, W., & Fang, J. (2009). Soil respiration and human effects on global grasslands. Global and Planetary Change, 67, 20–28.
- Whigham, D. F., & Simpson, R. L. (1978). The relationship between aboveground and belowground biomass of freshwater tidal wetland macrophytes. *Aquatic Botany*, *5*, 355–364.
- Yan, L., Zhou, G., & Zhang, F. (2013). Effects of different grazing intensities on grassland production in China: A meta-analysis. PLoS ONE, 8, e81466.
- Yang, Z., Zhu, Q., Zhan, W., Xu, Y., Zhu, E., Gao, Y., ... Peng, C. (2018). The linkage between vegetation and soil nutrients and their variation under different grazing intensities in an alpine meadow on the eastern Qinghai-Tibetan plateau. *Ecological Engineering*, 110, 128-136.
- Yuan, Z. Y., & Chen, H. Y. H. (2015). Decoupling of nitrogen and phosphorus in terrestrial plants associated with global changes. *Nature Climate Change*, 5, 465–469.
- Zhou, G., Luo, Q., Chen, Y., He, M., Zhou, L., Frank, D., ... Zhou, X. (2019). Effects of livestock grazing on grassland carbon storage and release override impacts associated with global climate change. *Global Change Biology*, 25, 1119–1132.
- Zhou, G., Luo, Q., Chen, Y., Hu, J., He, M., Gao, J., ... Zhou, X. (2019). Interactive effects of grazing and global change factors on soil and ecosystem respiration in grassland ecosystems: A global synthesis. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, *56*, 2007–2019.
- Zhou, G., Zhou, X., He, Y., Shao, J., Hu, Z., Liu, R., ... Hosseinibai, S. (2017). Grazing intensity significantly affects belowground carbon and nitrogen cycling in grassland ecosystems: A meta-analysis. *Global Change Biology*, 23, 1167–1179.

BIOSKETCHES

Xuhui Zhou, Junjiong Shao and Kees Jan van Groenigen are career scientists mainly interested in the impacts of human activity on C, N and P dynamics of terrestrial ecosystems. **Miao He, Guiyao Zhou** and **Tengfei Yuan** are students in Prof. Zhou's research group.

Global Ecology

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section.

How to cite this article: He M, Zhou G, Yuan T, van

Groenigen KJ, Shao J, Zhou X. Grazing intensity significantly changes the C : N : P stoichiometry in grassland ecosystems. *Global Ecol Biogeogr.* 2019;00:1–15. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/</u> geb.13028

APPENDIX: DATA SOURCES

- An, H., & Li, G. (2015). Effects of grazing on carbon and nitrogen in plants and soils in a semiarid desert grassland, China. *Journal of Arid Environments*, 7, 341–349.
- Andrioli, R. J., Distel, R. A., & Didoné, N. G. (2010). Influence of cattle grazing on nitrogen cycling in soils beneath *Stipa tenuis*, native to central Argentina. *Journal of Arid Environments*, 74, 419–422.
- Bai, Y., Wu, J., Clark, C.M., Pan, Q., Zhang, L., Chen, S., Wang, Q., & Han, X. (2012). Grazing alters ecosystem functioning and C:N:P stoichiometry of grasslands along a regional precipitation gradient. *Journal* of Applied Ecology, 49, 1204–1215.
- Cao, G., Tang, Y., Mo, W., Wang, Y., Li, Y., & Zhao, X. (2004). Grazing intensity alters soil respiration in an alpine meadow on the Tibetan plateau. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 36, 237–243.
- Chaneton, E. J., Lemcoff, J. H., & Lavado, R. S. (1996). Nitrogen and phosphorus cycling in grazed and ungrazed plots in a temperate subhumid grassland in Argentina. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 33, 291–302.
- Chen, H., Hou, X., Ubugunov, L., Vishnyakova, O., Wu, X., Ren, W., & Ding, Y. (2014). Carbon storage under different grazing management in the typical steppe. *Eurasian Soil Science*, 47, 1152–1160.
- Chen, H., Zhao, X., Chen, X., Lin, Q., & Li, G. (2018). Seasonal changes of soil microbial C, N, P and associated nutrient dynamics in a semiarid grassland of north China. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 128, 89–97.
- Chen, J., & Tang, H. (2016). Effect of grazing exclusion on vegetation characteristics and soil organic carbon of *Leymus chinensis* grassland in northern China. Sustainability, 8, 56.
- Chen, J., Zhou, X., Wang, J., Hruska, T., Shi, W., Cao, J., ... Luo, Y. (2016). Grazing exclusion reduced soil respiration but increased its temperature sensitivity in a meadow grassland on the Tibetan Plateau. *Ecology and Evolution*, *6*, 675.
- Cheng, X., Luo, Y., Su, B., Wan, S., Hui, D., & Zhang, Q. (2011). Plant carbon substrate supply regulated soil nitrogen dynamics in a tallgrass prairie in the Great Plains, USA: Results of a clipping and shading experiment. *Journal of Plant Ecology*, 4, 228–235.
- Chen, Y., Li, Y., Zhao, X., Awada, T., Shang, W., & Han, J. (2012). Effects of grazing exclusion on soil properties and on ecosystem carbon and nitrogen storage in a sandy rangeland of Inner Mongolia, Northern China. Environmental Management, 50, 622–632.

- Cui, S., Zhu, X., Wang, S., Zhang, Z., Xu, B., Luo, C., Zhao, L., & Zhao, X. (2014). Effects of seasonal grazing on soil respiration in alpine meadow on the Tibetan plateau. *Soil Use and Management*, 30, 435-443.
- Derner, J. D., Boutton, T. W. & Briske, D. D. (2006). Grazing and ecosystem carbon storage in the North American Great Plains. *Plant and Soil*, 280, 77–90.
- Deng, L., Krauss, S., Feichtmayer, J., Hofmann, R., Arndt, H., & Griebler, C. (2014). Grazing of heterotrophic flagellates on viruses is driven by feeding behaviour. *Environmental Microbiology Reports*, 6, 325–330.
- Dong, X. Y., Hua, F. U., Xu-Dong, L. I., Niu, D. C., Guo, D., & Xiao-Dong, L. I. (2010) Effects on plant biomass and CNP contents of plants in grazed and fenced steppe grasslands of the Loess Plateau. Acta Prataculturae Sinica, 19, 175–182.
- Dong, X. Y. (2009). Effects on plant C, N, and P stoichiometry and their pools in grazed and fenced steppe grasslands of the Loess Plateau. (Doctoral dissertation for Lanzhou University) (in Chinese with English abstract)
- Dormaar, J. F., Smoliak, S., & Willms, W. D. (1990) Distribution of nitrogen fractions in grazed and ungrazed fescue grassland Ah horizons. *Journal of Range Management*, 43, 6–9.
- Du, Z., Xie, Y., Hu, L., Hu, L., Xu, S., Li, D., Wang, G., & Fu, J. (2014). Effects of fertilization and clipping on carbon, nitrogen storage, and soil microbial activity in a natural grassland in Southern China. *PLoS ONE*, 9, e99385.
- Ebrahimi, M., Khosravi, H., & Rigi, M. (2016). Short-term grazing exclusion from heavy livestock rangelands affects vegetation cover and soil properties in natural ecosystems of southeastern Iran. *Ecological Engineering*, 95, 10–18.
- Evans, C. R. W., Krzic, M., Broersma, K., & Thompson, D. J. (2012). Longterm grazing effects on grassland soil properties in southern British Columbia. *Canadian Journal of Soil Science*, 92, 685–693.
- Fernandez, D. P., Neff, J. C., & Reynolds, R. L. (2008). Biogeochemical and ecological impacts of livestock grazing in semi-arid southeastern Utah, USA. Journal of Arid Environments, 72, 777–791.
- Frank, D. A. (2008). Ungulate and topographic control of nitrogen: phosphorus stoichiometry in a temperate grassland; soils, plants and mineralization rates. *Oikos*, 117, 591–601.
- Fu, G., Zhang, X., Yu, C., Shi, P., Zhou, Y., Li, Y., ... Shen, Z. (2014). Response of soil respiration to grazing in an alpine meadow at three elevations in Tibet. *The Scientific World Journal*, 2014, 265142. https ://doi.org/10.1155/2014/265142
- Fuhlendorf, S. D., Zhang, H., Tunnell, T., Engle, D. M. & Cross, A. F. (2002). Effects of grazing on restoration of southern mixed prairie soils. *Restoration Ecology*, 10, 401–407.
- Gao, Y. H., Luo, P., Wu, N., Chen, H., & Wang, G. X. (2007). Grazing intensity impacts on carbon sequestration in an alpine meadow on the eastern Tibetan Plateau. *Research Journal of Agriculture and Biological Sciences*, 3, 642–647.
- Gong, J.-R., Wang, Y., Liu, M., Huang, Y., Yan, X., Zhang, Z., & Zhang, W. (2014). Effects of land use on soil respiration in the temperate steppe of Inner Mongolia, China. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 144, 20–31.
- Gul, B., Islam, M., Ahmad, S., & Gul, S. (2016). Aboveground biomass and concentration of nutrients in semiarid rangeland plant species: Influence of grazing and soil moisture. *Phyton (Buenos Aires)*, 85, 94–99.
- Guretzky, J. A., Wingeyer, A. B., Schacht, W. H., Klopfenstein, T. J., & Watson, A. (2014). Soil organic matter and root and rhizome responses to management strategies in smooth bromegrass pastures. *Agronomy Journal*, 106, 1886–1892.
- Han, B. H., Niu, D. C., Yuan, X. B., Ren, Y. T., Shi, M. M., Wu, R., & Fu, H. (2016). The development of biological soil crusts and its soil nutrients characteristics of microhabitats under fenced and grazed. Acta Agrestia Sinica, 24, 1219–1225.

- Han, X., Sistla, S. A., Zhang, Y. H., Lü, X. T., & Han, X. G. (2014). Hierarchical responses of plant stoichiometry to nitrogen deposition and mowing in a temperate steppe. *Plant and Soil*, 382, 175–187.
- Harris, W., Boutton, T., & Ansley, R. (2008). Plant community and soil microbial carbon and nitrogen responses to fire and clipping in a southern mixed grassland. *Rangeland Ecology & Management*, 61, 580–587.
- Harris, W. N., Moretto, A. S., Distel, R. A., Boutton, T. W., & Boo, R. M. (2007). Fire and grazing in grasslands of the Argentine Caldenal: effects on plant and soil carbon and nitrogen. *Acta Oecologica*, 32, 207–214.
- He, G. Y., Sun, H. Z., Shi, X. M., Qi, W., & Du, G. Z. (2015). Soil properties of tibetan plateau alpine wetland affected by grazing and season. *Acta Prataculturae Sinica*, 24, 12–20.
- He, N. P., Zhang, Y. H., Yu, Q., Chen, Q. S., Pan, Q. M., Zhang, G. M., & Han, X. G. (2011). Grazing intensity impacts soil carbon and nitrogen storage of continental steppe. *Ecosphere*, *2*, 1–10.
- He, Y. H., Zhao, H. L., & Liu, X. P. (2009). Impact of grazing excluding and grazing on soil properties in sandy grassland in Horqin Sand Land, China. Environmental Science and Information Application Technology, 2009. Wuhan, China. IEEE Computer Society (pp. 339–342).
- Heyburn, J., Mckenzie, P., Crawley, M. J., & Fornara, D. A. (2017). Effects of grassland management on plant C:N:P stoichiometry: implications for soil element cycling and storage. *Ecosphere*, 8. e01963.
- Hou, X., Wang, Z., Michael, S.P., Ji, L., & Yun, X. (2014). The response of grassland productivity, soil carbon content and soil respiration rates to different grazing regimes in a desert steppe in northern China. *The Rangeland Journal*, 36, 573–582.
- Hu, J., Hou, X. Y., Wang, Z., Ding, Y., Li, X. L., Li, P., & Ji, L. (2015). Effects of mowing and grazing on soil nutrients and soil microbes in rhizosphere and bulk soil of Stipa grandis in a typical steppe. *The Journal of Applied Ecology*, 26, 3482–3488.
- Hu, X. M., Hou, X. Y., Ding, Y., Chen, H. J., Yun, X. J., & Wu, Z. N. (2014). Dynamics of soil carbon storage in Stipa breviflora desert steppe under different grazing systems. *Pratacultural Science*, 31, 2205-2211.
- Jia, X., Shao, M.A., & Wei, X. (2012). Responses of soil respiration to N addition, burning and clipping in temperate semiarid grassland in northern China. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 166, 32–40.
- Jiao, T., Nie, Z., Zhao, G., & Cao, W. (2016). Changes in soil physical, chemical, and biological characteristics of a temperate desert steppe under different grazing regimes in Northern China. *Communications* in Soil Science and Plant Analysis, 47, 338–347.
- Katsalirou, E., Deng, S., Gerakis, A., & Nofziger, D. L. (2016). Long-term management effects on soil P, microbial biomass P, and phosphatase activities in prairie soils. *European Journal of Soil*, 76, 61–69.
- Li, C., Hao, X., Ellert, B. H., Willms, W. D., Zhao, M., & Han, G. (2012a). Changes in soil C, N, and P with long-term (58 years) cattle grazing on rough fescue grassland. *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science*, 175, 339–344.
- Li, C., Hao, X., Willms, W. D., Zhao, M., & Han, G. (2009). Seasonal response of herbage production and its nutrient and mineral contents to long-term cattle grazing on a Rough Fescue grassland. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, 132, 32–38.
- Li, H., Zhang, F., Mao, S., Zhu, J., Yang, Y., He, H., & Li, Y. (2016). Effects of grazing exclusion on soil properties in Maqin Alpine Meadow, Tibetan Plateau, China. Polish Journal of Environmental Studies, 25, 1583–1587.
- Li, H. Q., Mao, S. J., Zhu, J. B., Yang, Y. S., He, H. D., & Li, Y. N. (2017). Effects of grazing intensity on the ecological stoichiometry characteristics of alpine meadow. *Pratacultural Science*, 34, 449–455
- Li, S., Wang, X., Guo, Z., Zhou, J., Xue, R., & Shen, Y. (2013). Effects of short term grazing on C and N content in soil and soil microbe in alpine meadow in the north eastern edge of the Qinghai Tibetan Plateau. *Chinese Journal of Grassland*, 35, 55–60.

- Li, W., Cao, W., Li, X., Xu, C., & Shi, S. (2016). Effect of different grazing management on soil nutrient characteristics in alpine meadow-steppe. *Grassland & Turf, 36*, 8–13.
- Li, X., Fu, H., Li, X., Guo, D., Dong, X., & Wan, C. (2008). Effects of landuse regimes on carbon sequestration in the Loess Plateau, northern China. New Zealand Journal of Agricultural Research, 51, 45–52.
- Li, Y., Dong, S., Wen, L., Wang, X., & Wu, Y. (2013b). The effects of fencing on carbon stocks in the degraded alpine grasslands of the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 128, 393–399.
- Li, Y., Zhao, H., Zhao, X., Zhang, T., Li, Y., & Cui, J. (2011). Effects of grazing and livestock exclusion on soil physical and chemical properties in desertified sandy grassland, Inner Mongolia, northern China. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 63, 771–783.
- Li, Y., Zhao, X., Chen, Y., Luo, Y., & Wang, S. (2012b). Effects of grazing exclusion on carbon sequestration and the associated vegetation and soil characteristics at a semi-arid desertified sandy site in Inner Mongolia, northern China. *Canadian Journal of Soil Science*, 92, 807–819.
- Li, Y., Zhu. Y., Zhao. J., Li. G., Wang. H., Lai X. & Yang D. (2014). Effects of rest grazing on organic carbon storage in *Stipa grandis* steppe in Inner Mongolia, China. *Journal of Integrative Agriculture*, 13, 624–634.
- Li, Y., Yan, Z. Y., Guo, D., Wang, H. X., Su, S. L., Li, X. D., & Fu. H. (2015). Effects of fencing and grazing on vegetation and soil physical and chemical properties in an alpine meadow in the Qinghai lake basin. *Acta Prataculturae Sinica*, 24, 33–39.
- Li, Y.-Y., Dong, S.-K., Wen, L., Wang, X.-X., & Wu, Y. (2014). Soil carbon and nitrogen pools and their relationship to plant and soil dynamics of degraded and artificially restored grasslands of the Qinghai– Tibetan Plateau. *Geoderma*, 213, 178–184.
- Lin, L., Zhang, D., Cao, G., Ouyang, J., Xun, K. E., & Liu, S. (2016). Responses of soil nutrient traits to grazing intensities in alpine kobresia meadows. *Acta Ecologica Sinica*, 36, 4664–4671.
- Lin, X., Zhang, Z., Wang, S., Hu, Y., Xu, G., Luo, C., Chang, X., Duan, J., Lin, Q., & Xu, B. (2011). Response of ecosystem respiration to warming and grazing during the growing seasons in the alpine meadow on the Tibetan plateau. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 151, 792–802.
- Lin, Y., Hong, M., Han, G., Zhao, M., Bai, Y., & Chang, S. X. (2010). Grazing intensity affected spatial patterns of vegetation and soil fertility in a desert steppe. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, 138, 282–292.
- Liu, N., Zhang, Y., Chang, S., Kan, H., & Lin, L. (2012). Impact of grazing on soil carbon and microbial biomass in typical steppe and desert steppe of Inner Mongolia. *PloS ONE*, 7, e36434.
- Liu, R., Chai, Y., & Zhu, F. (2013). Effect of long-term cultivation on soil arthropod community in sandy farmland. *Journal of Agricultural Science* and Technology, 15, 144–151.
- Liu, S., Cui, G., Niu, Z., Wang, Y., & He, W. (2015). Effects of grazing on population characteristics of *Deyeuxia angustifolia* and content of main soil nutrients. *Chinese Journal of Grassland*, 37, 79–84.
- Liu, T., Nan, Z., & Hou, F. (2011). Grazing intensity effects on soil nitrogen mineralization in semi-arid grassland on the Loess Plateau of northern China. Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems, 91, 67–75.
- Liu, X. D., Chen, L., Yang, X. G., Zhao, W., Zhang, Y. F., & Li, X. B. (2016). Effects of grazing and fencing on nutrients and enzyme activities in desert steppe soil. Acta Agriculturae Zhejiangensis, 28, 1389–1395.
- Liu, Y., Chang, X., Tian, F., Liu, Z., Dang, Z., & Wu, G. (2016). Effects of grazing on community and soil characteristics in the semi-arid grassland. Acta Botanica Boreali-Occidentalia Sinica, 36, 2524–2532.
- Liu, Z., Baoyin, T., Duan, J., Yang, G., Sun, J., & Li, X. (2018). Nutrient characteristics in relation to plant size of a perennial grass under grazing exclusion in degraded grassland. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 9. https:// doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.00295.
- Ljubičić, I., Britvec, M., Jelaska, S. D., & Husnjak, S. (2014). Plant diversity and chemical soil composition of rocky pastures in relation to

the sheep grazing intensity on the northern Adriatic islands (Croatia). Acta Botanica Croatica, 73, 419–435.

- Lu, X., Yan, Y., Sun, J., Zhang, X., Chen, Y., Wang, X., & Cheng, G. (2015). Carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus storage in alpine grassland ecosystems of Tibet: Effects of grazing exclusion. *Ecology and Evolution*, 5, 4492–4504.
- Lu, X., Yan, Y., Sun, J., Zhang, X., Chen, Y., Wang, X., & Cheng, G. (2015). Short-term grazing exclusion has no impact on soil properties and nutrients of degraded alpine grassland in Tibet, China. *Solid Earth*, *6*, 1195–1205.
- Luan, J., Cui, L., Xiang, C., Wu, J., Song, H., Ma, Q., & Hu, Z. (2014). Schrama. *Ecological Engineering*, *64*, 262–268.
- Luo, C., Xu, G., Wang, Y., Wang, S., Lin, X., Hu, Y., Zhang, Z., Chang, X., Duan, J., & Su, A. (2009). Effects of grazing and experimental warming on DOC concentrations in the soil solution on the Qinghai-Tibet plateau. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 41, 2493–2500.
- Ma, W., Ding, K., & Li, Z. (2016). Comparison of soil carbon and nitrogen stocks at grazing-excluded and yak grazed alpine meadow sites in Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau, China. *Ecological Engineering*, 87, 203–211.
- Masunga, G. S., Moe, S. R., & Pelekekae, B. (2013). Fire and grazing change herbaceous species composition and reduce beta diversity in the Kalahari sand system. *Ecosystems*, 16, 252–268.
- McGovern, S. T., Evans, C. D., Dennis, P., Walmsley, C. A., Turner, A., & McDonald, M. A. (2014). Increased inorganic nitrogen leaching from a mountain grassland ecosystem following grazing removal: A hangover of past intensive land-use? *Biogeochemistry*, 119, 125–138.
- McLaren, T. I., McLaughlin, M. J., McBeath, T. M., Simpson, R. J., Smernik, R. J., Guppy, C. N., & Richardson, A. E. (2016). The fate of fertiliser P in soil under pasture and uptake by subterraneum clover – A field study using 33P-labelled single superphosphate. *Plant Soil*, 401, 23–38.
- Medina-Roldán, E., Paz-Ferreiro, J., & Bardgett, R. D. (2012). Grazing exclusion affects soil and plant communities, but has no impact on soil carbon storage in an upland grassland. Agriculture, *Ecosystems & Environment*, 149, 118–123.
- Muñoz, M. Á., & Faz, Á. (2014). Soil and vegetation seasonal changes in the grazing Andean Mountain grasslands. *Journal of Mountain Science*, 11, 1123–1137.
- Ngatia, L. W., Turner, B. L., Njoka, J. T., Young, T. P., & Reddy, K. R. (2015). The effects of herbivory and nutrients on plant biomass and carbon storage in Vertisols of an East African savanna. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, 208, 55–63.
- Niu, D., Hall, S. J., Fu, H., Kang, J., Qin, Y., & Elser, J. J. (2011). Grazing exclusion alters ecosystem carbon pools in Alxa desert steppe. New Zealand Journal of Agricultural Research, 54, 127–142.
- Núñez, P. A., Demanet, R., Misselbrook, T. H., Alfaro, M., & de la Luz Mora, M. (2010). Nitrogen losses under different cattle grazing frequencies and intensities in a volcanic soil of southern Chile. *Chilean Jouranl of Agricultural Research*, 70, 237–250.
- Olsen, Y. S., Dausse, A., Garbutt, A., Ford, H., Thomas, D. N., & Jones, D. L. (2011). Cattle grazing drives nitrogen and carbon cycling in a temperate salt marsh. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 43, 531–541.
- Papatheodorou, E. M., & Stamou, G. P. (2004). Nutrient attributes of tissues in relation to grazing in an evergreen sclerophyllous shrub (*Quercus coccifera* L.) dominating vegetation in Mediterranean-type ecosystems. Journal of Arid Environments, 59, 217–227.
- Qi, S., Zheng, H., Lin, Q., Li, G., Xi, Z., & Zhao, X. (2011). Effects of livestock grazing intensity on soil biota in a semiarid steppe of Inner Mongolia. *Plant and Soil*, 340, 117–126.
- Qiu, L., Wei, X., Zhang, X., & Cheng, J. (2013). Ecosystem carbon and nitrogen accumulation after grazing exclusion in semiarid grassland. *Plos ONE*, 8, 268–277.
- Reeder, J. D., Schuman, G. E., Morgan, J. A., & LeCain, D. R. (2004). Response of organic and inorganic carbon and nitrogen to long-term

grazing of the shortgrass steppe. Environmental Management, 33, 485-495.

- Ren, L., Yuan Z., Chen, J., Li, S., Zhang, D., Lin, G., & Lin, D. (2016). Characteristics of soil nutrients in alpine meadow under different utilization patterns in eastern qilian mountains. *Journal of Gansu Agricultural University*, 51, 71–75.
- Sanjari, G., Ghadiri, H., Ciesiolka, C. A., & Yu, B. (2008). Comparing the effects of continuous and time-controlled grazing systems on soil characteristics in Southeast Queensland. Soil Research, 46, 348–358.
- Schrama, M., Heijning, P., Bakker, J. P., van Wijnen, H. J., Berg, M. P., & Olff, H. (2013). Herbivore trampling as an alternative pathway for explaining differences in nitrogen mineralization in moist grasslands. *Oecologia*, 172, 231–243.
- Shi, X.-M., Li, X. G., Li, C. T., Zhao, Y., Shang, Z. H., & Ma, Q. (2013). Grazing exclusion decreases soil organic C storage at an alpine grassland of the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau. *Ecological Engineering*, 57, 183–187.
- Shrestha, G., & Stahl, P. D. (2008). Carbon accumulation and storage in semi-arid sagebrush steppe: Effects of long-term grazing exclusion. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 125, 173–181.
- Smithwick, E. A. H., Baldwin, D. C., & Naithani, K. J. (2016). Grassland productivity in response to nutrient additions and herbivory is scale-dependent. *PeerJ*, 4, e2745.
- Su, Z., Sun, Y., Fu, J., Chu, X., Xu, Y., & Hu, T. (2015). Effects of grazing intensity on soil nutrient of kobresia pygmaea meadow in tibet plateau. *Pratacultural Science*, 32, 322–328.
- Sun, G., Zhu-Barker, X., Chen, D., Liu, L., Zhang, N., Shi, C., He, L., & Lei, Y. (2017). Responses of root exudation and nutrient cycling to grazing intensities and recovery practices in an alpine meadow: An implication for pasture management. *Plant Soil*, 416, 515–525.
- Talore, D. G., Tesfamariam, E. H., Hassen, A., Du Toit, J. C. O., Klampp, K., & Jean-Francois, S. (2016). Long-term impacts of grazing intensity on soil carbon sequestration and selected soil properties in the arid Eastern Cape, South Africa. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 96, 1945–1952.
- Tracy, B. F., & Frank, D. A. (1998). Herbivore influence on soil microbial biomass and nitrogen mineralization in a northern grassland ecosystem: Yellowstone National Park. *Oecologia*, 114, 556–562.
- Wang, C. (2005). Soil net N mineralization in the typical temperate grassland in Inner Mongolia. Doctoral dissertation for the Chinese Academy of Sciences (GSCAS). (in Chinese with English abstract)
- Wang, C., Han, X., & Xing, X. (2010). Effects of grazing exclusion on soil net nitrogen mineralization and nitrogen availability in a temperate steppe in northern china. *Journal of Arid Environments*, 74, 1287–1293.
- Wang, D., Wu, G.-L., Zhu, Y.-J., & Shi, Z.-H. (2014). Grazing exclusion effects on above-and below-ground C and N pools of typical grassland on the Loess Plateau (China). *Catena*, 123, 113–120.
- Wang, K., Deng, L., Ren, Z., Li, J., & Shangguan, Z. (2016). Grazing exclusion significantly improves grassland ecosystem C and N pools in a desert steppe of Northwest China. *Catena*, 137, 441–448.
- Wang, X., Yan, Y., & Cao, Y. (2012). Impact of historic grazing on steppe soils on the northern Tibetan Plateau. *Plant and Soil*, 354, 173–183.
- Wang, Z., Yuan, X., Wang, D., Zhang, Y., Zhong, Z., Guo, Q., & Feng, C. (2018). Large herbivores influence plant litter decomposition by altering soil properties and plant quality in a meadow steppe. *Scientific Reports*, *8*, 9089.
- Wang, Z., Yun, X. J., Wei, Z. J., Schellenberg, M. P., Wang, Y. F., Yang, X. & Hou, X. Y. (2014) Responses of plant community and soil properties to inter-annual precipitation variability and grazing durations in a desert steppe in Inner Mongolia. *Journal of Integrative Agriculture*, 13, 1171–1182.
- Wei, L., Hai-Zhou, H., Zhi-Nan, Z., & Gao-Lin, W. (2011). Effects of grazing on the soil properties and C and N storage in relation to biomass allocation in an alpine meadow. *Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*, 11, 27–39.

Global Ecology and Biogeography

- Wen, D., He, N., & Zhang, J. (2016). Dynamics of soil organic carbon and aggregate stability with grazing exclusion in the Inner Mongolian grasslands. *PLoS ONE*, 11, e0146757.
- Wen, H., Niu, D., Fu, H., & Kang, J. (2013). Experimental investigation on soil carbon, nitrogen, and their components under grazing and livestock exclusion in steppe and desert steppe grasslands, Northwestern China. Environmental Earth Sciences, 70, 3131–3141.
- Wiesmeier, M., Steffens, M., Mueller, C., Kölbl, A., Reszkowska, A., Peth, S., Horn, R., & Kögel-Knabner, I. (2012). Aggregate stability and physical protection of soil organic carbon in semi-arid steppe soils. *European Journal of Soil Science*, 63, 22–31.
- Wilsey, B. J., Parent, G., Roulet, N. T., Moore, T. R., & Potvin, C. (2002). Tropical pasture carbon cycling: relationships between C source/ sink strength, above-ground biomass and grazing. *Ecology Letters*, 5, 367–376.
- Wu, G., Du, G., Liu, Z., & Thirgood, S. (2009). Effect of fencing and grazing on a Kobresia-dominated meadow in the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau. *Plant Soil*, 319, 115–126.
- Wu, G.-L., Liu, Z.-H., Zhang, L., Chen, J.-M., & Hu, T.-M. (2010). Longterm fencing improved soil properties and soil organic carbon storage in an alpine swamp meadow of western China. *Plant and Soil*, 332, 331–337.
- Wu, H., Wiesmeier, M., Yu, Q., Steffens, M., Han, X., & Kögel-Knabner, I. (2012). Labile organic C and N mineralization of soil aggregate size classes in semiarid grasslands as affected by grazing management. *Biology & Fertility of Soils*, 48, 305–313.
- Wu, X., Li, Z., Fu, B., Zhou, W., Liu, H., & Liu, G. (2014). Restoration of ecosystem carbon and nitrogen storage and microbial biomass after grazing exclusion in semi-arid grasslands of Inner Mongolia. *Ecological Engineering*, 73, 395–403.
- Xie, Z., Le Roux, X., Wang, C. P., Gu, Z. K., An, M., Nan, H. Y., ... Ma, X. J. (2014). Identifying response groups of soil nitrifiers and denitrifiers to grazing and associated soil environmental drivers in Tibetan alpine meadows. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 77, 89–99.
- Xu, M., Wang, K., & Xie, F. (2013). Effects of grassland management on soil organic carbon density in agro-pastoral zone of Northern China. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 10, 4844–4850.
- Xu, M.-Y., Xie, F., & Wang, K. (2014). Response of vegetation and soil carbon and nitrogen storage to grazing intensity in semi-arid grasslands in the agro-pastoral zone of Northern China. *PLoS ONE*, *9*, e96604.
- Xu, Z., Lu, C., Cheng, S., & Bijaya, G. D. (2016). Effect of pasture enclosure and dung droppings on soil nutrients and aboveground biomass in alpine grassland in the northern Tibetan Plateau. *Journal of Animal* and Plant Sciences, 26, 1361–1367.
- Yang, X., Wang, Z., Yun, X. J., & Wei, Z. J. (2015). Net primary production and forage quality of desert steppe plant communities under different grazing systems and growing seasons. Acta Prataculturae Sinica, 24, 1–9.
- Yang, Y., Liu, A. J., Lan-Hua, L. I., Chen, H. J., Song, X. Y., & Wang, B. L., et al. (2016). Effects of fencing on vegetation community characteristics and soil properties of a typical steppe in inner Mongolia. Acta Prataculturae Sinica, 25, 21–29.

- Yuan, J., Ouyang, Z., Zheng, H., & Xu, W. (2012). Effects of different grassland restoration approaches on soil properties in the southeastern Horqin sandy land, northern China. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 61, 34–39.
- Zhai, X. J., Huang, D., & Wang, K. (2015). Effects of fencing and grazing on vegetation and soil in typical grassland. *Chinese Journal of Grassland*, 37, 73–77.
- Zhang, H., Zang, X., Ma, Y., Liu, M., Jia, L., Baoyin, T., Zhang, R., & Gao, Y. (2015). Effects of grazing on soil nutrient elements in the rhizosphere of Artemisia frigida Willd. *Journal of Soil & Water Conservation*, 29, 119–123.
- Zhang, T., Zhang, Y., Xu, M., Zhu, J., Wimberly, M. C., Yu, G., Niu, S., Xi, Y., Zhang, X., & Wang, J. (2015). Light-intensity grazing improves alpine meadow productivity and adaption to climate change on the Tibetan Plateau. *Scientific Reports*, *5*, 15949.
- Zhang, Y., Luo, P., Sun, G., Mou, C., Wang, Z., & Ning, W. U. (2012). Effects of grazing on litter decomposition in two alpine meadow on the eastern Qinghai-Tibet Plateau. Acta Ecologica Sinica, 32, 4605–4617.
- Zhang, Y., & Zhao, W. (2015). Vegetation and soil property response of short-time fencing in temperate desert of the Hexi Corridor, northwestern China. *Catena*, 133, 43–51.
- Zhao, N., Zhuang, Y., & Zhao, J. (2014). Effects of grassland managements on soil organic carbon and microbial biomass carbon. *Pratacultural Science*, 31, 367–274.
- Zhen, W., Yun, X. J., Wei, Z. J., Schellenberg, M. P., Wang, Y. F., Xia, Y., & Hou, X. Y. (2014). Responses of plant community and soil properties to inter-annual precipitation variability and grazing durations in a desert steppe in Inner Mongolia. *Journal of Integrative Agriculture*, 13, 1171–1182.
- Zhong, L., Du, R., Ding, K., Kang, X., Li, F.Y., Bowatte, S., Hoogendoorn, C.J., Wang, Y., Rui, Y., & Jiang, L. (2014). Effects of grazing on N₂O production potential and abundance of nitrifying and denitrifying microbial communities in meadow-steppe grassland in northern China. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, *69*, 1–10.
- Zhou, X.-Q., Wang, Y.-F., Huang, X.-Z., Tian, J.-Q., & Hao, Y.-B. (2008). Effect of grazing intensities on the activity and community structure of methane-oxidizing bacteria of grassland soil in Inner Mongolia. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems*, 80, 145–152.
- Zhou, Z., Sun, O. J., Huang, J., Li, L., Liu, P., & Han, X. (2007). Soil carbon and nitrogen stores and storage potential as affected by land-use in an agro-pastoral ecotone of northern China. *Biogeochemistry*, 82, 127–138.
- Zhu, G., Deng, L., Zhang, X., & Shangguan, Z. (2016). Effects of grazing exclusion on plant community and soil physicochemical properties in a desert steppe on the Loess Plateau, China. *Ecological Engineering*, 90, 372–381.
- Zong, N., Shi, P. L., Jiang, J., Meng, F. S., Ma, W. L., Xiong, D. P., Song, M. H., & Zhang, X. Z. (2013). Effects of fertilization and grazing exclosure on vegetation recovery in a degraded alpine meadow on the Tibetan Plateau. *Chinese Journal of Applied and Environmental Biology*, 19, 905–913.

WILE