

分类号: Q948.1

学校代码: 10269

密 级: 公 开

工 号: 20164073



華東師範大學

博士后研究工作报告

题目 : 浙东常绿阔叶林树种的种内性状变异与整合: 格局与后果

博 士 后 姓 名: 何 东

合 作 导 师: 阎恩荣 教授

流动站 (一级学科): 生 态 学

院 系 (所): 生态与环境科学学院

研究工作开始时间: 2016 年 10 月

研究工作完成时间: 2021 年 9 月

华东师范大学 (上海)

2021 年 9 月

华东师范大学博士后研究工作报告

浙东常绿阔叶林树种的种内性状变异与整合：
格局与后果

Intraspecific Trait Variation and Integration in
Tree Species of Evergreen Broadleaf Forests
in eastern Zhejiang province:
Patterns and Consequences

上海

华东师范大学
生态与环境科学学院

2021 年 9 月 24 日

内 容 摘 要

植物结构和功能的多样性是生态学和进化生物学期长期以来的重大问题之一。多维表型空间的概念为解答此一问题提供了一个支点。不过，以往关于该问题的探讨更多偏重跨种间的层次，种内性状变异与整合的意义还是有待深入发掘。

第 1 章简单撮述了涉及表型空间结构的关键概念，标举了种内性状变异与整合目前尚待研究的几个重要方面，并在此背景下提出了本项目试图解答的主要问题。

在第 2 章中，我以天童国家森林公园 604 株耐阴性树种黄丹木姜子 (*Litsea elongata*) 为材料，揭示了 8 种功能性状（叶面积、比叶面积、叶含水率、叶氮含量、叶磷含量、比枝长、枝含水率和休伯值）随树木大小变异的趋势，并比较了幼树和成树两个发育阶段性状标度关系（性状 x 的单位增量将伴随性状 y 的多少增量）的差异。结果显示，相对成树，幼树的树叶一般面积更大，更纤薄（即比叶面积更大），枝条更细长（比枝长更大），表明幼树的经济策略倾向低投入快收益型；但是幼树的叶氮含量却并比老树更低，这可能是因为耐阴性常绿树种的叶氮偏于投入组织建构而并不完全用于光合作用。多数性状两两之间的标度关系在两个发育阶段是基本一致的，表明树木生长发育过程中性状协变关系基本受控于统一的经济学和生物物理学法则，而成树和幼树在多维表型空间的位置分化被约束在这些标度轨迹的两端。

在第 3 章中，我以普陀山岛（湿润、缺磷）和泗礁岛（干旱、富磷）216 株硬叶树种柃木 (*Eurya japonica*) 为材料，检视了不同环境压力下叶性状协变关系的异同。结果显示，性状相关矩阵在两种生境条件下是显著同构的 (Mantel $r > 0.5$)，都反映出展叶效率（主要反映在比叶面积上）和水分利用效率（主要通过 $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ 衡量）之间的功能权衡。然而，在干旱、富磷的生境，气孔导度仅与叶面积、厚度、比叶面积相关；而在湿润、缺磷的生境，气孔导度仅仅与单位面积的叶氮含量相关。水分利用效率与单位面积叶氮、磷含量的显著关系发生于干旱环境，不出现在湿润环境。这些性状协变关系的生境间差异表明，缺水和缺磷条件下植物叶表型整合的路径分别倾向优化保水形态和充分利用养分，寓示叶经济谱反映的光合收益速率-周期间的权衡并非塑造植物策略的刚性限制。同时，这也意味着

表型整合的结构作为一个高阶“性状”对植物维持有效策略的意义十分重大。

在第4章中,我以宁波各区县共70个常绿阔叶林群落为材料探究了种内性状变异如何影响群落水平的性状协变进而调控环境梯度上的多样性格局。结果发现,如果假设各物种仅有一个“平均”表型而不存在种内变异,物种间的性状整合度将显著提高,表明种内性状变异具有提高群落表型空间(也即功能生态位空间)维数的作用。不论是否考虑种内变异,土壤越贫瘠,群落表型空间的维数越低,从而降低物种多样性和功能多样性,表明在恶劣环境条件下实现最优功能必须以其他多方面的功能为代价,如此方能形成有效策略。尤为重要的是,表型整合度与物种数的负关系相对假设不存在种内变异的情形更为明显,表明物种的分布和共存至少部分取决于种内性状变异的幅度。

概而言之,本项目对于亚热带常绿阔叶林功能多样性乃至物种多样性的动力源有崭新的发现,并强调通过种内性状变异与整合深化理解生物多样性格局及其背后的功能权衡。

关键词: 群落构建; 环境胁迫; 功能权衡; 生态位; 植物经济谱; 表型整合; 性状标度; 树木大小

Abstract

The diversity of plant forms and functions has long been an overarching theme in ecology and evolutionary biology. The notion of multidimensional phenotypic space provides a pivot for this very theme. However, because the theme has been largely constrained at the across-species level, the relevancy of intraspecific trait variation and integration in functional ecology is yet to be demonstrated.

In chapter 1, I briefly summarized the key concepts pertaining to the architecture of phenotypic space and outlined some important aspects of intraspecific trait variation and integration that are currently unclear. With these backgrounds available, I raised my core questions.

In chapter 2, I evaluated how individual traits and whether bivariate trait scaling relationships within evergreen species vary with tree size. Eight morphological, stoichiometric and hydraulic traits for 604 individual plants of a shade-tolerant species, *Litsea elongata*, were measured in a subtropical evergreen stand in Tiantong Forest Park, eastern China. Individual trait values were regressed against tree basal diameter to evaluate size-dependent trait variations. Standardized major axis regression was employed to examine the trait scaling relationships and to test whether there was a common slope and elevation in the trait scaling relationships across size classes. Results showed that small trees tended to have larger, thinner leaves and longer, slenderer stems than large trees, indicating an acquisitive economic strategy in juvenile trees. Leaf nitrogen concentration increased with plant size, probably due to a high ratio of structural to photosynthetic nitrogen in evergreen leaves of large trees. Bivariate trait scaling was minimally modified by tree size despite the elevation of some relationships differed between size classes. These results suggest that there exist common economic and biophysical constraints on intraspecific trait covariation independent of tree size, and that small and large trees tend to be located at two opposite ends of an intraspecific plant economic spectrum.

In chapter 3, I examined how multiple phenotypic traits in evergreen tree species are integrated to accomplish proper functions under specific stressors. Ten leaf traits (stomatal conductance, relative chlorophyll concentration, lamina area, perimeter/area ratio, specific leaf area, leaf water content, leaf thickness, leaf carbon isotope ratio, leaf nitrogen and phosphorus concentration) for 216 individual trees of a sclerophyll

species, *Eurya japonica*, were measured in the Zhoushan Archipelago, eastern China, to examine how the structures of trait correlation (i.e., phenotypic integration) vary between two habitats with contrasting moisture and phosphorus (P) availability. Overall, the trait correlation matrices were similar between the two habitats under study (Mantel $r > 0.5$), reflecting a consistent tradeoff between leaf outspreading (i.e., leaf area/mass ratio) and water-use efficiency (measured by $\delta^{13}\text{C}$). Stomatal conductance was correlated with leaf area, thickness and area/mass ratio only in the dry, P-rich habitat, whereas it was robustly correlated with leaf P per unit area in the wet, P-poor habitat. Moreover, leaf water-use efficiency was robustly correlated with leaf P and N per unit area in the dry habitat, but not so in the low-P one. The varied trait correlation structures pinpoint the pathways of strategic compromise in sclerophyll species under contrasting stressors. This study also highlights the importance of phenotypic integration as an emergent “trait” in sustaining viable strategies.

In chapter 4, I explored how intraspecific trait variability alters the strength of trait integration and eventually modulates biodiversity along environmental gradients. To this end, I measured nine functional traits (leaf area, specific leaf area, leaf and stem dry-matter content, leaf nitrogen and phosphorus contents, specific stem length, Huber value and maximum height) paired with site-specific soil fertility for 70 woody communities in subtropical Chinese forests. All species-by-site combinations were sampled to ensure a sufficient representation of intraspecific trait variation across sites. Community-level trait integration was quantified from the variance of eigenvalues of the trait correlation matrix. The direct and/or indirect effects of soil fertility and trait integration on species richness and trait diversity were assessed through path analyses. Trait integration quantified from both inter- and intraspecific variances was on average 21.7% weaker than that from only interspecific variance, indicating a crucial role of intraspecific trait variability in promoting niche dimensionality. Whether accounting for intraspecific variation or not, less fertile sites had stronger trait integration, which in turn depressed both taxonomic and functional diversity, supporting the assumption that higher environmental stress demanding stronger tradeoffs among multiple functions in viable strategies. Importantly, the negative association between trait integration and species richness became stronger when accounting for intraspecific variation, suggesting that species distribution and occurrence can be a consequence of intraspecific trait variability.

Altogether, this research program sheds light on the forces underlying functional (and taxonomical) diversity in subtropical evergreen broadleaf forests. It also highlights the importance of intraspecific trait variability in understanding functional tradeoffs underlying biodiversity patterns.

keywords: community assembly; environmental stressor; functional tradeoff; niche; plant economic spectrum; phenotypic integration; trait scaling; tree size

Contents

1	Introduction.....	1
1.1	Background	1
1.1.1	The relevancy of multidimensional phenotypic space	1
1.1.2	The importance of intraspecific variability	2
1.2	Questions.....	4
2	The Role of Tree Size in Shaping Intraspecific Trait Variation and Integration	5
2.1	Introduction.....	5
2.2	Methods and Materials.....	8
2.2.1	Study species and site.....	8
2.2.2	Plant and trait sampling.....	9
2.2.3	Statistical analyses	10
2.3	Results.....	11
2.4	Discussion	15
2.4.1	How do individual traits vary with tree size?.....	15
2.4.2	How do trait scaling relationships shift with tree size?.....	17
3	The Role of Environmental Stressors in Shaping Intraspecific Trait Variation and Integration ..	20
3.1	Introduction.....	20
3.2	Materials and Methods.....	22
3.2.1	Study species and site.....	22
3.2.2	Plant and soil sampling	23
3.2.3	Leaf trait measurements	24
3.2.4	Data analysis	26
3.3	Results.....	29
3.3.1	Inter-site comparisons of trait means	30
3.3.2	Inter-site comparisons of trait correlations.....	30
3.4	Discussion	32
3.4.1	Shift of mean leaf phenotypes.....	32
3.4.2	Flexibility in trait correlation structures.....	33
4	The Significance of Intraspecific Trait Variation and Integration in Mediating Biodiversity across Environmental Gradients	38
4.1	Introduction.....	38
4.2	Materials and Methods.....	42
4.2.1	Study area and natural history.....	42
4.2.2	Data collection	43
4.2.3	Multivariate variance partitioning.....	45
4.2.4	Quantification of species richness, trait diversity and trait integration	46
4.2.5	Path analyses	48
4.2.6	Bivariate relationships.....	49
4.3	Results	50
4.4	Discussion	53
4.4.1	Niche opportunity and the strength of trait integration	53
4.4.2	The dependence of species diversity on intraspecific trait variability.....	54
5	Closing Remarks	56
	References.....	58
	Appendices.....	66
	Acknowledgements(致谢)	73

List of figures, tables and appendices

- Figure 2-1 The architecture of trait covariation in *Litsea elongata* as revealed by principal component analysis: (A) biplot of the first two principal components loaded by trait variables; and (B) distribution of the two size classes along the first two axes. The small and large size classes are represented by gray and black, respectively. The crossings show the mean positions of the two size classes. The proportions of total variation explained by the first and second principal components are in parentheses next to axis labels.12
- Figure 2-2. Individual functional traits in relation to tree size (i.e. basal diameter) as revealed by linear models. The significance (P) of each test is shown at the panel corner. Blue lines indicate significant relationships; gray bands around blue lines represent 95% confidence intervals of regression lines. Note that most traits as response variables are shown on a natural log scale, except LDMC and SDMC.14
- Figure 3-1 Inter-site and within-site variation in individual traits of *Eurya japonica*. Boxes show the interquartile range (IQR) of trait values, and solid lines show the median. A notch displays the confidence interval around the median. Whiskers add $IQR \times 1.5$ to the upper quantile and subtract $IQR \times 1.5$ from the lower quantile. Red dots are the locations of site-specific means. Open circles are potential outliers. Inter-site differences are examined through ANOVA (** $P < 0.01$; *** $P < 0.001$; NS, $P > 0.05$). ITV denotes the proportion of inter-site variance to total variance (%). Trait abbreviation: Gs = stomatal conductance, rChl = relative leaf chlorophyll content, LA = Lamina area, PAR = leaf perimeter/area ratio, SLA = specific leaf area, LWC = leaf water content, LT = Leaf thickness, $\delta^{13}C$ = leaf carbon isotope ratio, N_m = leaf nitrogen concentration per unit mass, P_m = leaf phosphorus concentration per unit mass, N_a = leaf nitrogen concentration per unit area, P_a = leaf phosphorus concentration per unit area.28
- Figure 3-2 Multi-trait correlations (a, b) and partial correlations (c, d) under contrasting environmental regimes. Wet, P-poor and dry, P-rich sites are shown in left and right panels, respectively. The coefficient (r) of (partial) correlation for each trait pair is shown in the graph. Roughly all trait pairs with $r < |0.2|$ were not significantly correlated ($P < 0.02$, according to Benjamini & Yekutieli adjustment). For the abbreviations of leaf traits, see Figure 3-1.29
- Figure 3-3 The architecture of leaf trait covariation in a two-dimensional space defined by the first two principal axes in wet, P-poor (a) vs dry, P-rich (b) environment. For the abbreviations of leaf traits, see Figure 3-1.30
- Figure 3-4 Scatterplots of selected trait pairs with key functional relevancy. Each point represents a bivariate trait combination of a plant. Plants in the wet, P-poor and dry, P-rich sites are shown in light and dark green color, respectively. The coefficients (rs) of (partial) correlation for each trait pair are shown at the upper corner of each panel (NS, $P > 0.02$; * $P < 0.02$; ** $P < 0.005$, according to Benjamini & Yekutieli adjustment).31
- Figure 4-1 Conceptual representation of variation in trait diversity and species richness depending on trait integration and intraspecific trait variation along an environmental stress gradient (represented bold arrows). (a) Increasing stress imposes stronger tradeoffs as reflected by an increase in trait integration (flatness of ellipses), and in turn results in decreases in trait diversity (volume of ellipses) and species richness (number of dots). Different colors indicate different communities, and each constitute species is represented by a trait combination randomly generated from a bivariate normal distribution with a given variance-covariance structure (**P** matrix, shown next to each community). The covariance between trait and environment is kept constant. (b) Under relaxed constraints from tradeoffs, intraspecific trait variability is expected to weaken trait integration, and the negative relationship between trait integration and trait diversity is expected to be more pronounced with consideration of both inter- and intraspecific trait variation (—) than with consideration of only interspecific variation (---). (c) Likewise, the negative relationship between trait integration and species richness is also expected to be stronger with consideration of both inter- and intraspecific trait variation (—) than with consideration of only interspecific variation (---). Note that the slope is translocated horizontally because species richness does not

change no matter how trait integration is quantified.	40
Figure 4-2 Schematic diagram of hypothesized causal relationships among environmental stress, trait integration, species richness, and trait diversity. The direction of causality is indicated by arrows and marked with path coefficients. Solid and dashed arrows denote positive and negative effects, respectively. Previous theory and empirical results supporting the hypothesized paths are noted next to the arrows.	41
Figure 4-3 The frequency of the strength of trait integration (a) and trait diversity (b) across plots with (inter + intra) and without (inter) considering intraspecific trait variation. Open circles are medians, black boxplots are the ranges from lower to upper quintiles, black solid lines are the ranges from 5 th to 95 th percentiles, and the width of violin indicate the kernel density of particular trait integration or trait diversity. *** denotes significant difference between the two scenarios (P<0.001).	50
Figure 4-4 Path models showing the empirical relationships between environmental stress, rarefied richness, trait integration, and trait diversity with (a) and without (b) accounting for intraspecific trait variation (inter + intra vs. inter). Only significant ($\alpha = 0.05$) relationships are shown. See Figure 4-2 for the hypothesized path model.	51
Figure 4-5 Bivariate relationships between trait integration versus trait diversity (a) and between trait integration versus species richness (b) and their dependence on the two scenarios of trait aggregation with and without intraspecific variation (inter + intra vs. inter), after controlling for the effects of environmental stress (decreasing soil fertility as represented by PCA1 scores). A significant interaction term indicates that the slopes of response differ between scenarios, while a significant intercept term indicates that the difference in mean of response variable between scenarios.	52
Table 2-1 Predicted shifts in individual traits with plant size for shade-tolerant (e.g., <i>Litsea elongata</i>) vs. shade-intolerant species. It is well established that plants tend to shift from resource-acquisitive to resource-conservative strategies as they grow. However, where leaf structural rigidity depends on nitrogen concentration, we predicted that larger individuals of shade-tolerant species would have higher leaf nitrogen concentration, opposite to the pattern in shade-intolerant species (see text).	6
Table 2-2 The mean and the ranges of functional trait values in <i>Litsea elongata</i>	11
Table 2-3 Pairwise relationships between functional traits for <i>Litsea elongata</i> as revealed by standardized major axis regression	12
Table 2-4 Bivariate trait relationships in two size-classes for <i>Litsea elongata</i>	13
Table 3-1 Geographical locations and environmental regimes of the two <i>Eurya Japonica</i> populations under survey	23
Appendix 2-1 Bivariate relationships among key leaf traits in <i>Litsea elongata</i> (dark squares) contextualized in the leaf economics spectrum (LES) datasets (grey circles).	66
Appendix 2-2 Bivariate trait relationships in alternatively defined two size-classes for <i>Litsea elongata</i>	66
Appendix 3-1 Summary of environmental variations within and between sites.	67
Appendix 3-2 Summary of trait distributions for <i>Eurya japonica</i> in the Zhoushan Archipelago.	67
Appendix 4-1 A map of the study area showing geographical distribution of forest plots.	68
Appendix 4-2 List of traits considered in chapter 4 and their functional significance.	69
Appendix 4-3 Biplot of principal component analysis of three soil factors used to represent the gradient of environmental stress.	69
Appendix 4-4 Empirical relationships between trait integration versus environmental stress (a), species richness versus environmental stress (b) and trait diversity versus environmental stress (c), and their dependence on the scenarios of trait aggregation with or without accounting for intraspecific trait variation.	70
Appendix 4-5 Relationships between environmental stress, trait integration, species richness and trait diversity and associated effect (direct and indirect) sizes.	71
Appendix 4-6 Multiple correlations between environmental stress (E), species richness (S),	

trait diversity(Q) and trait integration (D) and multiple correlations between species richness (S E), trait diversity(Q E) and trait integration (D E) conditional on environmental stress. The subscripts “inter +intra” and “inter” indicate the scenarios of trait aggregation with and without intraspecific trait variation, respectively. Bold values indicates significant correlations, with Bonferroni correction.	71
Appendix 4-7 The architecture of trait covariance: trait relationships in a two-dimensional trait space defined by the first two principal axes, as revealed by PCA (a); the relationships among community-level trait means (with the prescript “m” denoting mean) in a two-dimensional ordination space constrained by the three soil factors, as revealed by Redundancy Analysis (b) and the positions of three contrasting plots along the first two principal axes (c).....	72